

State	Tool name	Tool used to assess persons with intellectual or developmental disabilities	Year implemented	Assessment level	Tool also used for care planning	Tool mode	Unmodified, nationally used tool	If yes, which tool	If no, origin of tool	If modified, from which tool	If state developed its own tool, who developed it	Tool validated	If yes, by whom	Age group(s) assessed	Tool used to assess persons with physical disabilities	Tool used to assess persons with intellectual or developmental disabilities	Tool used to assess persons with serious mental illness	Tool used to assess persons with other specified conditions	Number of 1915(c) waivers using tool	Tool used for both state plan and waiver services	Tool used for both institutions and HCBS	Tool used across multiple services	Required frequency of assessment
Maine	Medical Eligibility Determination (MED) and MED for Children	Yes*	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	Combination of children and adults*	Yes	Yes*	Yes*	No	4 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Nebraska	Developmental Index (ICF-DD) Assessment Tool	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	No	–	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	3 of 6	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Georgia	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	2007	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 6	No	No	No, used for waivers only	–
Nevada	Level of Care Screening Tool	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	All ages*	Yes	Yes	No	No	3 of 3	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Alabama	Admission and Evaluation Data (Form 161)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	5 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Wisconsin	Adult Long Term Care Functional Screen (Adult LTC FS)	Yes	2001	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes*	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	Yes	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	No	No	6 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Wisconsin	Assessment/Supplement (A/S) to the Long Term Care Functional Screen	Yes	2004	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes*	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	No	No	1 of 9	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Less frequent than annual
California	Assisted Living Waiver (ALW) Assessment Tool	Yes	2006	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	Yes	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 of 8	No	No	No, used for waivers only	More frequent than annual
Maine	BMS-99 assessment tool	Yes	1999	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	–	N/A	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	3 of 7	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Louisiana	Bureau of Health Services Financing (BHSF) Form 90-L: Request for Level of Care Determination	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Maryland	Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS)	Yes	2011	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	Yes	CANS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Multiple reliability and validity studies	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	Yes	Yes	No	1 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
New York	Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS-NY)	Yes	2012	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	CANS	N/A	Yes	Researchers and state-specific testing	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4 of 11	No	No	No, used for waivers only	More frequent than annual
Maryland	Child and Adolescent Service Intensity Instrument (CASII)	Yes	2011	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	Yes	CASII	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AACAP	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	Yes	Yes	No	1 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Wisconsin	Children's Long Term Support Functional Screen (CLTS FS)	Yes	2001	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	Yes	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	3 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Kansas	Client Assessment, Referral, and Evaluation (CARE) Tool	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	–
California	Client Development Evaluation Report (CDER)	Yes	1977	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	Client Centered Evaluation Model	–	Yes	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 of 8	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
New Mexico	Client Individual Assessment (CIA)	Yes	2004	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	2 of 3	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
California	Community Based Adult Services (CBAS), CBAS Eligibility Determination Tool (CEDT) Version 2.0	Yes	2011	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0 of 8	No	No	No, state plan HCBS only	More frequent than annual
Florida	Comprehensive Assessment and Review for Long-Term Care Services (CARES)	Yes	1992	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Washington	Comprehensive Assessment Reporting Evaluation (CARE)	Yes	2003	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	interRAI and CARE	State Medicaid agency staff	Yes	State agency	All ages	Yes	Yes	No	No	8 of 8	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Alaska	Consumer Assessment Tool	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	No	No	2 of 4	Yes	No	Yes, but HCBS only	Annual
Connecticut	CT Level of Need Assessment and Screening Tool	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	4 of 11	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	–
Kansas	Developmental Disabilities Profile (DDP)	Yes	1990	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	DDP	State sister-agency staff	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	No	1 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Utah	Division Form 19/19C	Yes	2000	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	No	0 of 7	No	–	–	Annual
Wisconsin	Functional Eligibility Screen for Mental Health and Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse (AODA) Services (MH/AODA FS)	Yes	2001	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	No	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	Yes	–	Combination of children and adults	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	1 of 9	Yes	No	Yes, but HCBS only	Annual
Georgia	Health Risk Screening Tool (HRST)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	HRST	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 6	No	No	No, used for waivers only	–
Alabama	ICF/IID Level of Care Evaluation (Form 361/362)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	–	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Nebraska	Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP)	Yes	1994	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	IFSP assessment tool	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Texas	Intellectual Disability/Related Condition Assessment (Form 8578)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	–	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	3 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual

Oklahoma	Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Mental Retardation (ICF/MR) Level of Care Assessment Form (Form LTC-300)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	No	4 of 8	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
New York	Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Mental Retardation (ICF/MR) Level of Care Eligibility Determination (LCED) Form for HCBS Waiver Participants	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	1 of 11	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Hawaii	Intermediate Care Facility-Mentally Retarded (ICF-MR) Evaluation (DHS Form 1150)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	–	–	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	1 of 1	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	–	
Alaska	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	ICAP	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	No	1 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Illinois	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	ICAP	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	3 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Louisiana	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	Yes	ICAP	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	1 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	–	
Mississippi	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	2015	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	ICAP	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	ACDD and CARF	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	Yes	No	2 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Nebraska	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	ICAP	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	ACDD and CARF	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	3 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
New Hampshire	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	ICAP	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	3 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
South Dakota	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Paper	Yes	ICAP	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Utah	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	2000	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	ICAP	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	0 of 7	No	–	–	Annual	
Wyoming	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	–	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	ICAP	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	4 of 6	–	–	No, used for waivers only	–	
Alabama	Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	–	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	ICAP	N/A	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 7	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual	
North Dakota	Level of Care (LOC) (Form ND-300-100)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Ohio	Level of Care Assessment	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	9 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Georgia	Level of Care Assessment (Form DMA-6)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Pennsylvania	Level of Care Determination (LCD) (previously known as the Level of Care Assessment-LOCA)	Yes	2014	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	5 of 10	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Indiana	Level of Care Screening Instrument (LOCSI)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	No	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	No	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	2 of 5	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
District of Columbia	Level of Need Assessment and Screening Tool	Yes	2011	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	Connecticut tool	State sister-agency staff	–	–	All adults	No	Yes	No	No	1 of 2	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Alaska	Long Term Care Authorization AK-LTC-1	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	No	No	0 of 4	No	No	No, institutional services only	Annual	
Colorado	Long Term Care Eligibility Assessment (Form ULTC 100.2)	Yes	2003	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	10 of 10	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
South Carolina	Long-Term Care Assessment (Form 1718)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Louisiana	Louisiana PLUS (LA PLUS)	Yes	2009	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State sister-agency staff	Yes	–	Office for Citizens with Developmental Disabilities	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	3 of 7	–	–	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Wyoming	LT 101	Yes	2016	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	–	Developed own tool	Functional Independence Measure	State Medicaid agency staff	Yes	–	Wyoming Department of Health-Division of Healthcare Finance	All adults	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	1 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Wyoming	LT 104	Yes	1999	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	4 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual	
Massachusetts	Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment Profile (MASSCAP), subpart: Consumer and Caregiver Assessment (CCA)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	CCA	N/A	–	N/A	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	4 of 10	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual	
Massachusetts	Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment Profile (MASSCAP), subpart: Inventory for Client and Agency Planning (ICAP)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	ICAP	N/A	–	N/A	Yes	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	4 of 10	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual	
Massachusetts	Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment Profile (MASSCAP), subpart: Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales-Second Edition (Vineland II)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	Vineland II	N/A	–	–	–	–	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	4 of 10	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual	
Massachusetts	MassHealth Evaluation for Personal Care Attendant Services (Form PCA-2)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Combination of children and adults	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0 of 10	No	No	No, state plan HCBS only	Annual	

Kentucky	Medicaid Waiver Assessment (Form MAP 351) Rev. 7/08	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	6 of 7	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Rhode Island	Medical Evaluation of Applicant for Level of Care	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	No	No	0 of 0	No	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	–
Texas	Medical Necessity and Level of Care Assessment	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	No	No	3 of 7	Yes	No	Yes, but HCBS only	Annual
Illinois	Medically Fragile, Technology Dependent (MFTD) Level of Care (LOC) Instrument	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	No	Paper	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	Oregon and Virginia tools	Consultant or other external group	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	Yes	Yes	No	No	1 of 9	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
North Carolina	Mental Health Services MR-2 Form	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	2 of 5	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Massachusetts	Minimum Data Set - Home Care (MDS-HC)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	MDS-HC	N/A	–	–	Yes	interRAI researchers	All adults	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6 of 10	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Minnesota	MnCHOICES web-based application	Yes	2013	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5 of 5	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
California	Multipurpose Senior Services Program (MSSP) Assessment	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Elderly adults	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 of 8	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Oregon	Oregon Client Assessment and Planning System (CAPS)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	No	No	6 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Pennsylvania	PA Plus (administered with the Supports Intensity Scale)	Yes	2007	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State sister-agency staff	–	–	All adults	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 10	No	–	No, used for waivers only	Less frequent than annual
North Carolina	Personal Care Services (PCS) Independent Assessment	Yes	2013	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	0 of 5	No	No	No, state plan HCBS only	Annual
Rhode Island	Physician Evaluation for Katie Beckett Coverage Group	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	No	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	Yes	Yes	No	No	0 of 0	No	No	No, state plan HCBS only	–
Tennessee	Pre-Admission Evaluation (PAE)	Yes	2010	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	No	No	3 of 3	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
West Virginia	Pre-Admission Screening (PAS)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3 of 3	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Arizona	Preadmission Screening Tool (PAS) for the Developmentally Disabled	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	N/A	–	Yes	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	0 of 0	No	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	–
Arizona	Preadmission Screening Tool (PAS) for the Elderly and Physically Disabled	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	N/A	–	–	–	Combination of children and adults	Yes	Yes	No	No	0 of 0	No	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	–
Missouri	Prioritization of Need (PON) for Developmental Disabilities (DD) Services	Yes	2000	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	Yes	Lewin Group	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	6 of 10	–	–	No, used for waivers only	–
North Carolina	Risk/Support Needs Assessment	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	1 of 5	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Idaho	Scales of Independent Behavior-Revised (SIB-R)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	SIB-R	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	JoDD Study	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Nebraska	Scales of Independent Behavior-Revised (SIB-R)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	SIB-R	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	JoDD Study	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	3 of 6	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
New Hampshire	Scales of Independent Behavior-Revised (SIB-R)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	SIB-R	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	JoDD Study	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	3 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Pennsylvania	Scales of Independent Behavior-Revised (SIB-R)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	Yes	SIB-R	N/A	–	–	–	–	All adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	1 of 10	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
South Carolina	Service Coordination Annual Assessment (SCA)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	3 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Colorado	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	2005	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	All adults	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 10	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Less frequent than annual
Iowa	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	2014	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, and web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	1 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Less frequent than annual
Louisiana	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	3 of 7	–	–	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Maine	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	Children (under 18 or under 21)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	1 of 7	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Maryland	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	2010	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	3 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Missouri	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	No	6 of 10	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual

New Hampshire	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	Yes	3 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
New Mexico	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	2011	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	–	–	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	1 of 3	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
North Carolina	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	All ages	No	Yes	No	Yes	3 of 5	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Less frequent than annual
Pennsylvania	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	2007	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	–	Yes	AAIDD	All adults	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 10	No	–	No, used for waivers only	Less frequent than annual
Tennessee	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	2012	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	3 of 3	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Less frequent than annual
Washington	Supports Intensity Scale (SIS)	Yes	2007	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	SIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AAIDD	Combination of children and adults	No	Yes	No	No	5 of 8	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Delaware	Uniform Assessment Instrument (UAI)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	Combination of children and adults	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0 of 1		Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	Annual
Virginia	Uniform Assessment Instrument (UAI)	Yes	1994	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All ages	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3 of 8	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	–
Oklahoma	Uniform Comprehensive Assessment Tool (UCAT) Part III	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4 of 8	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Alabama	Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales-Second Edition (Vineland II)	Yes	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	–	Yes	Vineland II	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	All ages	No	Yes	No	No	2 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	–
North Dakota	Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales-Second Edition (Vineland II)	Yes	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	–	Paper	Yes	Vineland II	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	Yes	No	Yes	1 of 6	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Nebraska	Child/Client's Level of Care Document (Form MILTC-134D: NF Level of Care)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Connecticut	W-1034 Level of Care Determination: PCA and ABI Waiver Programs	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	3 of 11	–	–	No, used for waivers only	–
Florida	701B Comprehensive Assessment	No	2013	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	No	No	Yes	4 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Massachusetts	Adult Comprehensive Assessment (ACA)/Individualized Action Plan (IAP)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff; State sister-agency staff	–	–	All adults	No	No	Yes	No	–	–	–	–	Annual
Nebraska	Aged and Disabled Medicaid Waiver Adult Assessment (Form MILTC-2AD)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	–	–	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	2 of 6	Yes	No	Yes, all types	Annual
West Virginia	Aged and Disabled Member Assessment/Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Waiver Program Member Assessment	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	2 of 3	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Delaware	American Society for Addiction Medicine (ASAM) PPC-2	No	2015	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	ASAM	N/A	Yes	ASAM	All adults	No	No	Yes	Yes	0 of 1	Yes	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	Annual
Arkansas	ArPath web-based information system	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	interRAI Home Care Assessment System	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	2 of 5	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
South Dakota	Assisted Daily Living Services (ADLS) Assessment	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	1 of 4	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Utah	Brain Injury Comprehensive Intake Screening and Assessment (CBIA) Form	No	2006	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	–	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	All adults	No	No	No	Yes	1 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Montana	Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths – Montana (CANS-MT)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	CANS	N/A	Yes	Multiple reliability and validity studies	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	Yes	No	1 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Indiana	Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	CANS	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	Yes	No	1 of 5	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Louisiana	Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	CANS	N/A	Yes	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	Yes	No	1 of 7	–	–	No, used for waivers only	More frequent than annual
Texas	Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) (two versions, Age 3–5 and Age 6–17)	No	2013	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	CANS	N/A	Yes		Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	Yes	No	1 of 7	–	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Massachusetts	Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (MassCANS)	No	2008	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	CANS	–	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	Yes	No	–	–	–	–	More frequent than annual
Wyoming	Child and Adolescent Service Intensity Instrument (CASII)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	–	Paper	Yes	CASII	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	AACAP	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	Yes	No	1 of 6	No	No	No, used for waivers only	–
South Carolina	Child and Adult Level of Care Utilization System (CALOCUS)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	CALOCUS	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	Yes	No	1 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Nebraska	Child's Functional Assessment and Family Support Survey (Form MILTC-7AD)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 6	Yes	No	Yes, all types	Annual
Vermont	Choices for Care Clinical Assessment (Form CFC 802)	No	2005	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	–	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	Yes	By state	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	0 of 0	No	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	More frequent than annual

Massachusetts	Comprehensive Data Set (CDS)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	Minimum Data Set - Home Care and Long-Term Care Needs Assessment	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 10	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Illinois	Determination of Needs (DON)	No	1983	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State sister-agency staff	Yes	University of Illinois-Chicago	All ages	Yes	No	No	Yes	5 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Indiana	Eligibility Screen (E-Screen)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	Unknown	–	–	All ages	Yes	No	No	Yes	3 of 5	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Kansas	Functional Assessment Instrument (FAI)	No	2012	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	Combination of children and adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	3 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Nevada	Functional Assessment Service Plan (Form NMO-7073)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	–	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All ages	Yes	No	No	No	0 of 3	No	No	No, state plan HCBS only	–
Nebraska	Functional Criteria for Aged/Adults (Form MILTC-14AD: NF Level of Care)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	2 of 6	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Vermont	Independent Living Assessment (ILA)	No	1995	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	0 of 0	No	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	Annual
California	In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) - Form SOC 293: Needs Assessment	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	No	No	No	0 of 8	No	No	No, state plan HCBS only	Annual
South Dakota	interRAI Community Health Assessment (interRAI CHA)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	interRAI CHA	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	interRAI researchers	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	2 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Georgia	interRAI Home Care (interRAI HC)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	interRAI HC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 6	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Maryland	interRAI Home Care (interRAI HC)	No	2012	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	interRAI HC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	interRAI researchers	Combination of children and adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	3 of 9	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Mississippi	interRAI Home Care (interRAI HC)	No	2015	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	interRAI HC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	interRAI researchers	Combination of children and adults	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4 of 5	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Missouri	interRAI Home Care (interRAI HC)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web-based	Yes	interRAI HC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	interRAI researchers	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	3 of 10	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Hawaii	Level of Care (LOC) and At Risk Evaluation (DHS Form 1147) and Children-Youth Level of Care Evaluation (DHS Form 1147e)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	–	–	–	–	All ages*	Yes	No	No	No	0 of 1	No	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	–
Iowa	Level of Care Certification for HCBS Waiver Programs and Nursing Facilities	No	2015	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Louisiana	Level of Care Eligibility Tool (LOCET)	No	2006	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	Minimum Data Set - Nursing Facility	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	0 of 7	No	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	–
North Carolina	Long Term Care FL-2 Form	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 5	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
District of Columbia	LTCSS Assessment Tool	No	2013	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	No	N/A	All ages	Yes	No	No	No	0 of 2	No	No	No, state plan HCBS only	More frequent than annual
Kansas	Medicaid Functional Eligibility Instrument (MFEI)	No	2014	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	interRAI Home Care Assessment System	Consultant or other external group	Yes	–	Combination of children and adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	3 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Kentucky	Medicaid Waiver Assessment (Form MAP 351A) Rev. 6/15/02	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	No	Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All ages	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 7	No	No	No, used for waivers only	More frequent than annual
New Hampshire	Medical Eligibility Determination (MED)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Michigan	Mi-Choice IHC - Participant Information for Home and Community Based Services Assessment (interRAI HC)	No	2008	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	interRAI HC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	interRAI researchers	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 5	No	No	No, used for waivers only	More frequent than annual
Louisiana	Minimum Data Set - Home Care (MDS-HC)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	Yes	MDS-HC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	interRAI researchers	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	2 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Utah	Minimum Data Set - Home Care (MDS-HC)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	MDS-HC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	interRAI researchers	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	3 of 7	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Pennsylvania	Needs Assessment Tool (NAT)	No	2014	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	4 of 10	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	More frequent than annual
New Jersey	NJ Choice Suite	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web-based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	interRAI Home Care Assessment System	N/A	Yes	interRAI researchers and MI's Division of Aging and Community Services (DACCS)	All ages	Yes	No	No	Yes	0 of 1	No	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	–
Alaska	Nursing Facility Level of Care for Children	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment		Electronic, not web-based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual

Alabama	PACE Form 12-001	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Elderly adults	Yes	No	–	No	0 of 7	No	No	No, state plan HCBS only	Annual
Georgia	Participant Assessment Form (PAF)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	–	No	N/A	–	N/A	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	Yes	2 of 6	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Connecticut	Scales of Independent Behavior-Revised (SIB-R)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	SIB-R	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	JoDD Study	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	No	Yes	1 of 11	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	–
Nebraska	Service Needs Assessment (Form MC-73: Time Assessment and Service Plan)	No	–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	0 of 6	No	No	No, state plan HCBS only	Annual
Georgia	Service Options Using Resources in Community Environments (SOURCE) Assessment Addendum	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 6	No	No	No, used for waivers only	–
Utah	Technology Dependent Waiver Comprehensive Assessment Form	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	–	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	No	Yes	1 of 7	No	No	No, used for waivers only	Annual
Idaho	Uniform Assessment Instrument (UAI)	No	2000	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web- based	No	N/A	Developed own tool	N/A	State Medicaid agency staff	–	–	All adults	Yes	No	No	No	1 of 4	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
New York	Uniform Assessment System (UAS-NY)	No	2013	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Electronic and web- based	No	N/A	Modified existing tool	interRAI Community Health Assessment instrument	N/A	Yes	interRAI researchers	All ages*	Yes	No	No	Yes	4 of 11	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	More frequent than annual
Connecticut	Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales-Second Edition (Vineland II)	No	–	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Paper	Yes	Vineland II	N/A	–	–	–	–	Children (under 18 or under 21)	No	No	No	Yes	1 of 11	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Connecticut	W-1506WEB Electronic Health Screen	No	2007	In-depth, condition- or service-specific assessment	Yes	Electronic, not web- based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	No	No	Yes	No	1 of 11			No, used for waivers only	–
Michigan	Medicaid Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination (LOCD)	–	2004	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	No	Electronic and web- based	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	All adults	Yes	–	–	–	2 of 5	Yes	Yes	Yes, all types	Annual
Rhode Island	Case Management Assessment		–	Broad, comprehensive LTSS eligibility assessment	Yes	Paper	No	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	Elderly adults				No	0 of 0	No	Yes	Yes, but state plan services only	–

Notes: HCBS is home and community-based services. QA is quality assurance. ADL is activities of daily living. LTSS is long-term services and supports. N/A is not applicable. RN is registered nurse. ICF/IID is intermediate care facilities for individuals with developmental disabilities. PACE is Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly. AAIDD is American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities. JoDD is Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities. PCA is personal care assistant. ABI is acquired brain injury. PPC is patient placement criteria. LTCSS is long-term care services and supports. AACAP is American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. MMIS is Medicaid Management Information System. ACDD is Accreditation Council for Services for People with Developmental Disabilities. CARF is Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities. NF is nursing facility.

\* An asterisk indicates versioning of the tool on that particular attribute, such that the assessment instrument is generally the same with some minor difference for different populations or services.

– Dash indicates that information was not available.

Sources: See sources listed by state at the Sources tab or click links in Sources column.

Required timing of first completion of assessment for eligibility	Required timing for first development of care plan	Same process / entity for initial assessment / reassessment	Organizational entity performing assessment	Individual performing assessment	QA or desk review of assessment results	Primary care physician required to authorize or acknowledge results	Total number of assessment domains	Clinical care needs assessed	Functional and ADL support needs assessed	Cognitive or behavioral function assessed	Physical environment assessed	Psychosocial needs assessed	Other domains assessed	Eligibility based on clinical care needs	Eligibility based on functional and ADL support needs	Eligibility based on cognitive or behavioral function	Eligibility based on physical environment	Eligibility based on psychosocial needs	Eligibility based on other domains	Assessment uses numeric scoring	Assessment results linked to LTSS payment rates	Data stored in electronic database	Data linked to other data source	If yes, data source	Sources	Last updated
Within 61 or more days	Within 7 days	Yes	State employees	RN	Yes	Yes	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Department of Health and Human Services system	<a href="#">63</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	4	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	–	–	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">89</a>	December 2015
–	–	–	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">36</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes*	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">95</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State employees	RN	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">1</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">149</a>	August 2015
Within 60 days	–	N/A	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">150</a>	August 2015
–	Within 7 days	Yes	State contractors	RN	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	<a href="#">13</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Other	–	Yes	–	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">62</a>	August 2015
–	Within 61 or more days	Yes	State employees	Physician	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">55</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	13	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">65</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">104</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">66</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Local or county government employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">151</a>	August 2015
Within 7 days	–	–	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	–	–	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">49</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	Yes	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">14</a>	August 2015
–	Within 61 or more days	Yes	State contractors	Other	Yes	No	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">102</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	N/A	–	Combination of entities	RN	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	No	N/A	<a href="#">15</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	Yes	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	Yes	Client Information and Registration Tracking System	<a href="#">30</a>	August 2015
No specified time frame	No specified time frame	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	CARE system	<a href="#">145</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	Within 60 days	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	14	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">6</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	–	Other	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">20</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	<a href="#">50</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State employees	–	–	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">138</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	Local or county government employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">152</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State employees	RN	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">31</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	Yes	3	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">2</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">91</a>	December 2015
–	–	No	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	Yes	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">135</a>	August 2015

Within 60 days	–	–	State employees	Other	–	No	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">115</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	No	Combination of entities	Other	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">105</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	–	Other	Yes	No	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">38</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	Within 60 days	Yes	State employees	Other	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">7</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	9	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">42</a>	August 2015
–	Within 7 days	–	–	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	<a href="#">57</a>	August 2015
–	–	No	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	–	Yes	No	–	<a href="#">81</a>	August 2015
–	–	No	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	MMIS	<a href="#">92</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">97</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">131</a>	August 2015
Within 60 days	–	–	State employees	Other	Yes	No	9	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Social Services Database System	<a href="#">139</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	–	Other	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	<a href="#">154</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State contractors	Other	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">3</a>	August 2015
Within 24 hours	–	No	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">112</a>	August 2015
–	Within 30 days	No	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	Yes	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">114</a>	August 2015
Time frame varies by population	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	Yes	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">33</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	N/A	Yes	Local or county government employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	Yes	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">118</a>	August 2015
–	–	No	State employees	Other	–	Yes	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">46</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	–	Yes	Yes	DC MCIS system	<a href="#">27</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State employees	RN	–	–	2	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">8</a>	August 2015
Timeframe varies by population	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Social worker	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	–	<a href="#">18</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	No	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	Yes	MMIS and South Carolina Care Call	<a href="#">127</a>	August 2015
N/A	Within 30 days	Yes	–	Combination of professionals	–	–	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	Yes	Yes	Yes	Participant Services Database	<a href="#">59</a>	August 2015
Within 7 days	Within 61 or more days	Yes	Local or county government employees	RN	Yes	No	13	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	MMIS	<a href="#">156</a>	July 2016
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">155</a>	August 2015
–	Time frame varies by population	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	No	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">72</a>	August 2015
–	Time frame varies by population	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">73</a>	August 2015
–	Time frame varies by population	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	No	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">74</a>	August 2015
–	Within 60 days	Yes	State contractors	RN	Yes	Yes	1	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">75</a>	August 2015



–	–	–	–	Combination of professionals	–	Yes	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	–	–	Yes	Kynect	<a href="#">54</a>	August 2015	
–	–	–	Health care providers	Combination of professionals	–	Yes	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	–	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">124</a>	August 2015	
–	–	Yes	–	RN	–	No	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">136</a>	August 2015	
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	1	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">43</a>	August 2015	
–	Within 30 days	No	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">108</a>	August 2015	
Within 7 days	–	–	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">76</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	Yes	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	–	Yes	Yes	MMIS	<a href="#">79</a>	August 2015	
Within 30 days	Within 61 or more days	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	Yes	–	<a href="#">17</a>	August 2015	
–	–	–	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	5	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">117</a>	August 2015	
–	–	–	State contractors	Other	–	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">120</a>	August 2015	
Within 30 days	–	Yes	State contractors	RN	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">109</a>	August 2015	
–	–	–	Health care providers	Physician	–	Yes	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	No	No	N/A	<a href="#">126</a>	August 2015	
–	–	No	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">132</a>	August 2015	
–	–	Yes	State contractors	RN	–	Yes	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">148</a>	August 2015	
Within 60 days	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">10</a>	August 2015	
Within 60 days	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">11</a>	August 2015	
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">83</a>	August 2015	
–	–	–	State contractors	Other	–	No	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">110</a>	August 2015	
Within 30 days	–	Yes	State contractors	Other	Yes	–	2	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">39</a>	August 2015	
Within 61 or more days	–	No	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	2	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">93</a>	August 2015	
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	2	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">99</a>	August 2015	
–	–	Yes	State employees	Social worker	–	–	2	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">121</a>	August 2015	
Within 30 days	–	No	State employees	Social worker	–	No	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	–	Yes	Yes	South Carolina Department of Disabilities and Special Needs Consumer Data Support System	<a href="#">128</a>	August 2015	
N/A	Within 30 days	Yes	State contractor	Other	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">19</a>	August 2015	
–	–	No	State contractors	Other	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">48</a>	August 2015	
N/A	Within 30 days	Yes	–	Combination of professionals	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Participant Services Database	<a href="#">61</a>	August 2015	
–	–	No	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">64</a>	August 2015	
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">68</a>	August 2015	
–	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">84</a>	August 2015	

–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">100</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State contractors	Other	–	–	9	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">103</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	Health care providers	Combination of professionals	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	<a href="#">111</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State contractors	Other	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	–	–	–	<a href="#">122</a>	August 2015
Within 61 or more days	–	No	State contractors	Other	Yes	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">133</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	Within 60 days	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	CARE system	<a href="#">146</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	Timeframe varies by population	No	Combination of entities	RN	Yes	–	–	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">26</a>	August 2015
–	–	No	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">144</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	No	State employees	RN	–	No	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">116</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">5</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Other	–	–	5	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">113</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	–	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	No	No	–	<a href="#">87</a>	December 2015
–	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">23</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	Yes			<a href="#">29</a>	August 2015
Within 72 hours	Within 30 days	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	Yes	11	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">69</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	–	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	5	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">86</a>	December 2015
–	–	–	–	–	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">147</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	Yes	State employees	A combination of professionals	Yes	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Diamond State Health Plan (DSHP), DSHP Plus, DSHP Plus LTSS	<a href="#">25</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	RN	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">12</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	–	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	Yes			<a href="#">129</a>	August 2015
Within 61 or more days	–	–	Local or county government employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">137</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	13	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	Yes	Montana CANS System Data Assessment Registry Mental Health and Addiction, Instate data management system	<a href="#">85</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	Health care providers	Combination of professionals	Yes	No	12	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	Yes		<a href="#">44</a>	August 2015
–	–	No	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	5	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">56</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	Yes	8v	Yes*	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">134</a>	August 2015
N/A	–	Yes	–	Combination of professionals	–	–	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">70</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	–	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">153</a>	August 2015
–	Within 30 days	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	No	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">126</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	–	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	–	Yes	–		<a href="#">88</a>	December 2015
Time frame varies by population	No specified time frame	No	State employees	RN	Yes	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">142</a>	August 2015

Time frame varies by population	–	Yes	State contractors	RN	–	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">71</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	<a href="#">41</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	Local or county government employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	–	Yes	Yes	INsite data management system	<a href="#">45</a>	August 2015
Within 7 days	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">51</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Health care providers	Combination of professionals	–	–	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">96</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">90</a>	August 2015
No specified time frame	No specified time frame	No	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Department of Disabilities, Aging and Independent Living central database	<a href="#">143</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	Yes	Local or county government employees	Social worker	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">16</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Other	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">130</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Combination of entities	RN	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">32</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	Yes	MMIS	<a href="#">67</a>	August 2015
No specified timeframe	No specified timeframe	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	Yes	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Mississippi LTSS information technology system	<a href="#">80</a>	January 2016
Within 30 days	Within 7 days	No	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">82</a>	August 2015
–	–	No	Health care providers	Combination of professionals	Yes	No	5	Yes	Yes	Yes*	No	Yes	No	–	–	–	–	–	–	Yes*	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">37</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	Yes	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">47</a>	August 2015
–	N/A	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">58</a>	August 2015
–	–	No			–	Yes	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	–	–	Yes	Yes	MMIS	<a href="#">107</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State contractors	RN	–	–	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	<a href="#">28</a>	August 2015
Within 7 days	–	–	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">52</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State contractors	RN	–	Yes	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">53</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Local or county government employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	–	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">98</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	Yes	Yes	COMPASS web-based software application	<a href="#">78</a>	August 2015
–	Within 60 days	Yes	State contractors	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">60</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	–	Yes	Local or county government employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	19	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">140</a>	August 2015
N/A	–	–	Combination of entities	RN	Yes	–	9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	Yes	Yes	SAMS case management software	<a href="#">118</a>	August 2015
–	–	No	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	<a href="#">101</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State employees	RN	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">2</a>	August 2015

–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	–	Yes	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">4</a>	August 2015
N/A	Within 30 days	Yes	State contractors	RN	Yes	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">34</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	–	–	2	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">21</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	1	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">94</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	State contractors	Combination of professionals	–	–	5	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">35</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	State employees	RN	Yes	–	14	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">141</a>	August 2015
Time frame varies by population	–	Yes	State employees	RN	–	–	–	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">40</a>	August 2015
No specified timeframe	–	No	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	–	–	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	–	<a href="#">106</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	–	–	5	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">22</a>	August 2015
–	–	–	–	Combination of professionals	–	No	2	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">24</a>	August 2015
Within 30 days	N/A	–	Combination of entities	Combination of professionals	Yes	–	7	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	–	Yes	–	–	<a href="#">77</a>	August 2015
–	–	Yes	–	Social worker	–	No	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	–	–	–	–	<a href="#">123</a>	August 2015